

May 2, 2007

Matt Moore South Washington Watershed District c/o City of Woodbury 8301 Valley Creek Road Woodbury, MN 55125

Dear Matt:

Enclosed are the following reports of the South Washington Watershed District for the year ended December 31, 2006:

- Annual Financial Report (8 copies) includes the State of Minnesota Legal Compliance Report.
- Audit Management Letter (8 copies)

We have also forwarded copies of the Annual Financial Report and Legal Compliance Report directly to the Office of the State Auditor and the Board of Water and Soil Resources to fulfill the audit reporting requirements of the District. (Transmittals enclosed)

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service. If you or the Board would like to discuss any topics in these reports, we are available at your convenience.

Sincerely,

HLB TAUTGES REDPATH, LTD.

Debra A. Jezierski, CPA

DAJ/bmj



May 2, 2007

Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources 520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155

Enclosed is the Annual Financial Report (includes the Legal Compliance Report) for the South Washington Watershed District for the year ended December 31, 2006.

If you have any questions, please call the undersigned.

Sincerely,

HLB TAUTGES REDPATH, LTD.

Debra A. Jezierski, CPA

DAJ/bmj

Enclosure

c: South Washington Watershed District

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

December 31, 2006



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		D
	Reference	Page No.
INTRODUCTORY SECTION		
List of Appointed Officials		3
FINANCIAL SECTION		
Independent Auditor's Report		7
Basic Financial Statements		
Government-Wide Financial Statements:		
Statement of Net Assets	Statement 1	12
Statement of Activities	Statement 2	13
Fund Financial Statements:		
Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds	Statement 3	14
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance -		
Governmental Funds	Statement 4	15
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in		
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds	Statement 5	16
Notes to Financial Statements		17
Required Supplementary Information		
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - General Fund	Statement 6	34
Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Note to RSI		35
Individual Fund Statements		
General Fund:		
Comparative Balance Sheet	Statement 7	38
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	Statement 8	39

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Reference	Page No.
Planning and Implementation Fund:		
Comparative Balance Sheet	Statement 9	40
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and	Statement 10	41
Changes in Fund Balance		
Debt Service Fund:		
Comparative Balance Sheet	Statement 11	42
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and	Statement 12	43
Changes in Fund Balance		
Supplementary Financial Information		
Combined Schedule of Indebtedness	Exhibit 1	46
Taxable Valuations, Tax Levies and Tax Rates	Exhibit 2	48
Special Revenue Fund - Planning and Implementation Fund:		
Combining Balance Sheet	Exhibit 3	49
Combining Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	Exhibit 4	53
OTHER REPORTS		
OTHER REPORTS		
Communication of Significant Deficiencies that Indicates No Material Weaknesses in Internal Control	rol	59
Report on Compliance with Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government		67

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

- This page intentionally left blank -

LIST OF APPOINTED OFFICIALS

December 31, 2006

BOARD OF MANAGERS

President Jack Lavold

Vice-President Brian Johnson

Vice-President Dennis Hanna

Treasurer Mike Pouliot

Secretary Don Pereira

APPOINTED OFFICIALS

Administrator Matt Moore

- This page intentionally left blank -

FINANCIAL SECTION

- This page intentionally left blank -



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Honorable Managers of the South Washington Watershed District Woodbury, Minnesota

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of the South Washington Watershed District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 which collectively comprise the South Washington Watershed District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the South Washington Watershed District's management. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the South Washington Watershed District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and each major fund of the South Washington Watershed District as of December 31, 2006, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis and the budgetary information, are not a required part of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The South Washington Watershed District has not presented the Management's Discussion and Analysis that the Governmental Accounting Standards Board has determined is necessary to supplement, although not required to be a part of, the basic financial statements. However, the South Washington Watershed District has presented the budgetary information on pages

- This page intentionally left blank -

34 through 35, which is supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the South Washington Watershed District's basic financial statements. The introductory section, individual fund statements and supplementary financial information as listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The individual fund statements and the supplementary financial information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

NLB Tautges Redpath, Ad. HLB TAUTGES REDPATH, LTD.

White Bear Lake, Minnesota

May 1, 2007

- This page intentionally left blank -

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

	Governmental Activities		
	2006	2005	
Assets:			
Cash and investments	\$6,797,481	\$5,270,634	
Accounts receivable	3,180	-	
Property taxes receivable:			
Delinquent	65,438	62,114	
Due from county	45,033	52,114	
Capital assets - net:			
Depreciable	738,973	7,444,970	
Nondepreciable	7,444,970	754,881	
Total assets	15,095,075	13,584,713	
Liabilities:			
Accounts payable	130,381	136,219	
Accrued interest payable	70,527	74,268	
Compensated absences payable:			
Due within one year	2,818	520	
Due in more than one year	-	1,305	
Bonds payable:			
Due within one year	340,000	330,000	
Due in more than one year	4,310,000	4,650,000	
Total liabilities	4,853,726	5,192,312	
Net assets:			
Invested in capital assets, net of related debt	3,533,943	3,219,851	
Restricted for:			
Debt Service	19,123	19,123	
Unrestricted	6,688,283	5,153,427	
Total net assets	\$10,241,349	\$8,392,401	

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

		Program Revenues		Net (Expense) Changes in	Net Assets	
		Charges For	Operating Grants and	Capital Grants and	Primary Go Tot	
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Services	Contributions	Contributions	2006	2005
1 unctions/1 logiants	Expenses	Scrvices	Contributions	Contributions	2000	2003
Primary government:						
Governmental activities:						
General government	\$149,468	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	(\$149,468)	(\$153,214)
Programs	335,788	-	15,750	-	(320,038)	(186,944)
Projects	337,426	2,053,218	-	-	1,715,792	1,691,652
Interest on long-term debt	213,452				(213,452)	(224,457)
Total governmental activities	\$1,036,134	\$2,053,218	\$15,750	\$0	1,032,834	1,127,037
General revenues:						
Property taxes					535,009	493,294
Grants and contributions not re	stricted to specifi	ic programs			24,343	29,178
Unrestricted investment earning	-	1 0			248,949	114,460
Miscellaneous other					7,813	8,749
Total general revenues					816,114	645,681
Change in net assets					1,848,948	1,772,718
Net assets - January 1, as previou	sly reported				8,392,401	6,620,768
Prior period adjustment					-	(1,085)
Net assets - January 1, as restated					8,392,401	6,619,683
Net assets - December 31					\$10,241,349	\$8,392,401

BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS December 31, 2006

		Planning and			
	General Fund	Implementation	Debt Service	Total Governr	nental Funds
Assets				2006	2005
Cash and investments	\$289,889	\$6,488,469	\$19,123	\$6,797,481	\$5,270,634
Accounts receivable - net	-	3,180	-	3,180	-
Taxes receivable:					
Delinquent	6,205	59,233	-	65,438	62,114
Due from county	- ************************************	45,033	- 010.122	45,033	52,114
Total assets	\$296,094	\$6,595,915	\$19,123	\$6,911,132	\$5,384,862
Liabilities and Fund Balances					
Liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$7,042	\$123,339	\$ -	\$130,381	\$136,219
Deferred revenue	6,205	59,233	· -	65,438	62,114
Total liabilities	13,247	182,572	0	195,819	198,333
Fund balance:					
Reserved for:					
Debt service	-	-	19,123	19,123	566,886
Unreserved:					
Designated:	202.045			202.045	227.004
General Fund	282,847	-	-	282,847	235,994
Special Revenue Fund	-	6,413,343	- 10.122	6,413,343	4,383,649
Total fund balance	282,847	6,413,343	19,123	6,715,313	5,186,529
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$296,094	\$6,595,915	\$19,123	\$6,911,132	\$5,384,862
Fund balance reported above				\$6,715,313	\$5,186,529
Amounts reported for governmental activities in	the statement of	net assets are		, , ,	, , ,
different because:					
Capital assets used in governmental activities	are not financial r	resources, and			
therefore, are not reported in the funds.				8,183,943	8,199,851
Other long-term assets are not available to pay	for current perio	d expenditures			
and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.				65,438	62,114
Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable	, are not due and	payable in the curre	ent		
period and therefore are not reported in the f	unds:				
Accrued interest payable				(70,527)	(74,268)
Compensated absences payable				(2,818)	(1,825)
Bonds payable				(4,650,000)	(4,980,000)
Net assets of governmental activities				\$10,241,349	\$8,392,401

Statement 4

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

	General Fund	Planning and Implementation	Debt Service	Intra- Activity Eliminations	Total Govern	mental Funds
Revenues:					2006	2005
General property taxes	\$178,150	\$353,535	\$ -	\$ -	\$531,685	\$496,156
Stormwater utility fees	-	1,506,025	547,193	-	2,053,218	1,994,750
Intergovernmental	1,678	38,415	-	-	40,093	29,178
Investment income	15,126	233,823	-	-	248,949	114,460
Other	373	7,440	-	-	7,813	8,749
Total revenues	195,327	2,139,238	547,193	0	2,881,758	2,643,293
Expenditures:						
Current:						
General government	148,474	-	-	-	148,474	136,566
Programs	-	335,790	-	-	335,790	186,944
Projects	-	321,517	-	-	321,517	303,098
Capital outlay:						
Projects	-	-	-	-	-	78,506
Debt service:						
Principal retirement	-	-	330,000	-	330,000	320,000
Interest			217,193		217,193	227,763
Total expenditures	148,474	657,307	547,193		1,352,974	1,252,877
Revenues over expenditures	46,853	1,481,931	0	0	1,528,784	1,390,416
Fund balance - January 1	235,994	4,931,412	19,123		5,186,529	3,796,113
Fund balance - December 31	\$282,847	\$6,413,343	\$19,123	\$0	\$6,715,313	\$5,186,529

RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF

GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

	2006	2005
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the		
statement of activities (Statement 2) are different because:		
Net changes in fund balances - total governmental funds (Statement 4)	\$1,528,784	\$1,390,416
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial		
resources are not reported as revenues in the funds.	3,324	(2,862)
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the		
statement of activities the cost of those assets is allocated over their		
estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the		
amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation in the current period.	(15,907)	62,598
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net assets. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items:		
Principal retirement	330,000	320,000
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	2,748	1,481
Prior period adjustment in the statement of activities does not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, is not reported in the governmental funds.		1,085
Change in net assets of governmental activities (Statement 2)	\$1,848,949	\$1,772,718

Statement 5

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

Note 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting policies of the South Washington Watershed District (the District) conform to generally accepted accounting principles applicable to governmental units. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies.

A. FINANCIAL REPORTING ENTITY

The District was originally created on August 25, 1993 under the name of Cottage Grove Ravine Watershed District by the Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources (the Board) as provided in Minnesota Statutes Chapter 103D. In July 1994, the District changed its name to South Washington Watershed District.

The purpose of the District is to promote public health, safety and welfare and enable its affected area to eventually come into compliance with Minnesota Statutes Section 103B.201 to 103B.255, also known as the Metropolitan Water Management Act. The District is operated by a five member Board of Managers originally appointed by the Board. Subsequent appointments will be made by Washington County.

In accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) pronouncements and generally accepted accounting principles, the financial statements of the reporting entity include the primary government and its component units. Generally, component units are legally separate organizations for which the elected officials of the primary government are financially accountable. The District (primary government) does not have any component units nor is it a component unit of any other governmental unit.

B. GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net assets and the statement of changes in net assets) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. *Governmental activities*, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from *business-type activities*, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. There are no business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function are offset by program revenues. *Direct expenses* are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function. *Program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or business-type activity and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or business-type activity. Taxes and other items not included among program revenues are reported instead as *general revenues*.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

C. MEASUREMENT FOCUS, BASIS OF ACCOUNTING, AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenue as soon as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the *current financial resources measurement focus* and the *modified accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be *available* when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers all revenues, except reimbursement grants, to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. Reimbursement grants are considered available if they are collected within one year of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures are recorded only when payment is due.

Property taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and interest associated with the current fiscal period are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and so have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the government.

The government reports the following major governmental funds:

<u>General Fund</u> - is the general operating fund of the District. It is used to account for financial resources to be used for general administrative expenditures.

<u>Planning and Implementation Fund</u> - is established to account for expenditures related to the preparation and implementation of the Watershed Management Plan.

<u>Debt Service Fund</u> - is established to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, principal, interest and related costs of the \$5,875,000 General Obligation Bonds of 2002.

Private-sector standards of accounting and financial reporting issued prior to December 1, 1989, generally are followed in the government-wide financial statements to the extent that those standards do not conflict with or contradict guidance of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. The government has elected not to follow subsequent private-sector guidance.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

As a general rule the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide financial statements. Exceptions to this general rule are transactions that would be treated as revenues, expenditures or expenses if they involved external organizations, such as buying goods and services or payments in lieu of taxes, are similarly treated when they involve other funds of the District. Elimination of these charges would distort the direct costs and program revenues reported for the various functions concerned.

Amounts reported as *program revenues* include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services, or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions, including special assessments. Internally dedicated resources are reported as *general revenues* rather than as program revenues. Likewise, general revenues include all taxes.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for an allowable use, it is the government's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

D. BUDGETS

Budgets are legally adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles. Annual appropriated budgets are legally adopted for the General Fund. Budgeted expenditure appropriations lapse at year end.

Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the appropriation, is not employed by the District because it is at present not considered necessary to assure effective budgetary control or to facilitate effective cash management.

E. LEGAL COMPLIANCE - BUDGETS

The District prepares annual revenue and expenditure budgets for the District's General Fund. The District monitors budget performance on the fund basis. All amounts over budget have been approved by the Board through the disbursement approval process. The modified accrual basis of accounting is used by the District for budgeting data. All appropriations end with the fiscal year for which they were made. The District does not prepare an annual expenditure/appropriations budget for its Special Revenue Fund.

F. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investment balances from all funds are pooled and invested to the extent available in authorized investments. Investment income is allocated to individual funds on the basis of the fund's equity in the cash and investment pool.

Investments are stated at fair value, based upon quoted market prices. Investment income is accrued at the balance sheet date.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

G. MARKET VALUE HOMESTEAD CREDIT

Property taxes and homestead property (as defined by State Statutes) are partially reduced by market value homestead credit. This credit is paid to the District by the State in lieu of taxes levied against homestead property. The State remits this credit through installments each year. The credit is recognized as revenue by the District at the time of collection.

H. PROPERTY TAX REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Board of Managers annually adopts a tax levy and certifies it to the County in December (levy/assessment date) of each year for collection in the following year. The County is responsible for billing and collecting all property taxes for itself, the City, the local School District and other taxing authorities. Such taxes become a lien on January 1 and are recorded as receivables by the District at that date. Real property taxes are payable (by property owners) on May 15 and October 15 of each calendar year. Personal property taxes are payable by taxpayers on February 28 and June 30 of each year. These taxes are collected by the County and remitted to the District on or before July 7 and December 2 of the same year. Delinquent collections for November and December are received the following January. The District has no ability to enforce payment of property taxes by property owners. The County possesses this authority.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The District recognizes property tax revenue in the period for which the taxes were levied. Uncollectible property taxes are not material and have not been reported.

GOVERNMENTAL FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The District recognizes property tax revenue when it becomes both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. In practice, current and delinquent taxes and State credits received by the District in July, December and January are recognized as revenue for the current year. Taxes collected by the County by December 31 (remitted to the District the following January) and taxes and credits not received at year end are classified as delinquent and due from County taxes receivable. The portion of delinquent taxes not collected by the District in January is fully offset by deferred revenue because they are not available to finance current expenditures.

I. INVENTORIES

The original cost of materials and supplies has been recorded as expenditures at the time of purchase. These funds do not maintain material amounts of inventories.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

J. CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment and infrastructure assets, are reported in the governmental activities columns in the government-wide financial statements. Capital assets are defined by the government as assets with an initial, individual cost of more than \$5,000 (amount not rounded) and an estimated useful life in excess of one year. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend assets lives are not capitalized.

GASB Statement No. 34 required the District to report and depreciate new infrastructure assets effective with the beginning of the 2004 calendar year. Infrastructure assets include lake improvements, dams and drainage systems. Neither their historical cost nor related depreciation has historically been reported in the financial statements. For governmental entities with total annual revenues of less than \$10 million for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999 the retroactive reporting of infrastructure is not required under the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34.

Property, plant and equipment of the District is depreciated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Infrastructure 50 years

K. LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

In the government-wide financial statements long-term debt is reported as a liability in the applicable governmental activities fund type statement of net assets. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are immaterial and are expensed in the year of bond issuance.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as debt service expenditures.

L. FUND EQUITY

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report reservations of fund balance for amounts not appropriable for expenditure or legally segregated for a specific future use. Designated fund balances represent tentative plans for future use of financial resources.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

M. INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

Interfund services provided and used are accounted for as revenues, expenditures or expenses. Transactions that constitute reimbursements to a fund for expenditures/expenses initially made from it that are properly applicable to another fund, are recorded as expenditures/expenses in the reimbursing fund and as reductions of expenditures/expenses in the fund that is reimbursed. All other interfund transactions are reported as transfers.

N. COMPENSATED ABSENCES

It is the District's policy to permit employees to accumulate earned but unused vacation and sick pay benefits. All vacation and sick pay benefits that are vested as severance pay is accrued when incurred in the government-wide financial statements. A liability for these amounts is reported in the governmental funds only if they have matured, for example, as a result of employee resignations and retirements. In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Government Accounting Standards No. 16, *Accounting for Compensated Absences*, no liability is recorded for nonvesting accumulating rights to receive sick pay benefits.

O. USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates that affect amounts reported in the financial statements during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from such estimates.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

P. RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. EXPLANATION OF CERTAIN DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENTAL FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES AND THE GOVERNMENT-WIDE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

The governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance includes a reconciliation between *net changes in fund balances – total governmental funds and changes in net assets of governmental activities* as reported in the government-wide statement of activities. One element of that reconciliation states that "revenues on the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the funds." The details of this \$3,324 difference are as follows:

General property taxes deferred revenue:	
At December 31, 2005	(\$62,114)
At December 31, 2006	65,438
Net adjustments to increase net changes in fund	
balances - total governmental funds to arrive at	
changes in net assets of governmental activities.	\$3,324
Another element of that reconciliation explains that "government outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as deprect of this (\$15,907) difference are as follows:	the cost of those assets is
Depreciation expense	(\$15,907)
Net adjustment to increase net changes in fund	
balances - total governmental funds to arrive at	

(\$15,907)

changes in net assets of governmental activities.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

Another element of that reconciliation states that "some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds." The details of this \$2,748 difference are as follows:

Accrued interest payable:	
At December 31, 2005	\$74,268
At December 31, 2006	(70,527)
Compensated absences payable:	
At December 31, 2005	1,825
At December 31, 2006	(2,818)
Net adjustments to increase net changes in fund	
balances - total governmental funds to arrive at	
changes in net assets of governmental activities.	\$2,748

Note 2 DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

A. DEPOSITS

In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, the District maintains deposits at those depository banks authorized by the District Board, all of which are members of the Federal Reserve System.

Minnesota Statutes require that all District deposits be protected by insurance, surety bond, or collateral. The market value of collateral pledged must equal 110% of the deposits not covered by insurance or bonds.

Minnesota Statutes require that securities pledged as collateral be held in safekeeping by the District Treasurer or in a financial institution other than that furnishing the collateral. Authorized collateral includes the following:

- a) United States government treasury bills, treasury notes, treasury bonds;
- b) Issues of United States government agencies and instrumentalities as quoted by a recognized industry quotation service available to the government entity;
- c) General obligation securities of any state or local government with taxing powers which is rated "A" or better by a national bond rating service, or revenue obligation securities of any state or local government with taxing powers which is rated "AA" or better by a national bond rating service;
- d) Unrated general obligation securities of a local government with taxing powers may be pledged as collateral against funds deposited by that same local government entity:

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

- e) Irrevocable standby letters of credit issued by Federal Home Loan Banks to a municipality accompanied by written evidence that the bank's public debt is rated "AA" or better by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Standard & Poor's Corporation; and
- f) Time deposits that are fully insured by any federal agency.

At year end the carrying amount of the District's deposits was \$6,797,481 and the bank balance was \$6,804,324. The entire bank balance was covered by federal depository insurance or collateral held by the District's agent in the District's name.

B. INVESTMENTS

Minnesota Statutes authorize the District to invest in the following:

- a) Direct obligations or obligations guaranteed by the United States or its agencies, its instrumentalities or organizations created by an act of congress, excluding mortgage-backed securities defined as high risk.
- b) Shares of investment companies registered under the Federal Investment Company Act of 1940 and whose only investments are in securities described in (a) above, general obligation tax-exempt securities, or repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements.
- c) Obligations of the State of Minnesota or any of its municipalities as follows:
 - 1) any security which is a general obligation of any state or local government with taxing powers which is rated "A" or better by a national bond rating service;
 - 2) any security which is a revenue obligation of any state or local government with taxing powers which is rated "AA" or better by a national bond rating service; and
 - 3) a general obligation of the Minnesota housing finance agency which is a moral obligation of the State of Minnesota and is rated "A" or better by a national bond rating agency.
- d) Bankers acceptance of United States banks eligible for purchase by the Federal Reserve System.
- e) Commercial paper issued by United States corporations or their Canadian subsidiaries, of the highest quality, and maturing in 270 days or less.
- f) Repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements with banks that are members of the Federal Reserve System with capitalization exceeding \$10,000,000; a primary reporting dealer in U.S. government securities to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York; certain Minnesota securities broker-dealers; or, a bank qualified as a depositor.
- g) General obligation temporary bonds of the same governmental entity issued under section 429.091, subdivision 7; 469.178, subdivision 5; or 475.61, subdivision 6.

The District did not invest in any of the above listed investments during 2006.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

<u>Credit Risk</u>. The District follows State Statutes in regards to credit risk of investments. The District does not have an investment policy which further limits its investment choices.

<u>Interest Rate Risk</u>. The District does not have an investment policy which limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk</u>. The District does not have an investment policy which addresses the concentration of credit risk.

Note 3 RECEIVABLES

Significant receivable balances not expected to be collected within one year of December 31, 2006 are as follows:

	Major	Major	
	General	Planning and	
_	Funds	Implementation	Total
Delinquent property taxes	\$2,931	\$27,979	\$30,910

Governmental funds report deferred revenue in connection with receivables for revenues that are not considered to be available to liquidate liabilities of the current period. Governmental funds also defer revenue recognition in connection with resources that have been received, but not yet earned. At the end of the current fiscal year, the various components of deferred revenue and unearned revenue reported in the governmental funds were as follows:

	Unavailable	Unearned
Delinquent property taxes receivable (General Fund)	\$6,205	\$ -
Delinquent property taxes receivable (Planning and Implementation Fund)	59,233	-
Total	\$65,438	\$0

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

Note 4 CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended December 31, 2006 was as follows:

	Beginning Balance	Increases	Decrease	Ending Balance
Governmental activities: Capital assets, not being depreciated: Land	\$7,444,970	\$ -	\$ -	\$7,444,970
Capital assets, being depreciated: Infrastructure	793,070			793,070
Less accumulated depreciation for: Infrastructure	38,190	15,907		54,097
Total capital assets being depreciated - net	754,880	(15,907)		738,973
Governmental activities capital assets - net	\$8,199,850	(\$15,907)	\$0	\$8,183,943

Depreciation expense was charged to function/programs of the primary government as follows:

Governmental activities:	
Projects	\$15,907
Total depreciation expense - governmental activities	\$15,907

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

Note 5 LONG-TERM DEBT

The District issued a general obligation bond in 2002 to provide funds for capital improvements.

GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

As of December 31, 2006, the governmental long-term bonded debt of the financial reporting entity consisted of the following:

	Final					
	Interest		Maturity	Original	Payable	
	Rates	Date	Date	Issue	12/31/06	
General Obligation Bonds:						
\$5,875,000 Bonds of 2002	4.75%	1/29/2002	3/1/2017	\$5,875,000	\$4,650,000	

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as follows:

	General Obligation Bonds			
Year Ending	Governmental Activities			
December 31	Principal	Interest		
2007	\$340,000	\$204,953		
2008	350,000	191,060		
2009	365,000	176,041		
2010	385,000	159,911		
2011	400,000	142,638		
2012	415,000	124,296		
2013	435,000	104,741		
2014	455,000	83,821		
2015	480,000	61,495		
2016	500,000	37,850		
2017	525,000	12,863		
Total	\$4,650,000	\$1,299,669		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

CHANGE IN LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Long-term liability activity for the year ended December 31, 2006, was as follows:

	Beginning			Ending	Due Within
	Balance	Additions	Reductions	Balance	One Year
Governmental activities:					
Bonds payable:					
General obligation bonds	\$4,980,000	\$ -	(\$330,000)	\$4,650,000	\$340,000
Compensated absences payable	1,825	5,739	(4,746)	2,818	2,818

All long-term bonded indebtedness outstanding at December 31, 2006 is backed by the full faith and credit of the District. Compensated absences are generally liquidated by the General Fund.

Note 6 CONTINGENCIES

A. RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters.

Workers compensation coverage for District employees is provided through a pooled self-insurance program through the League of Minnesota Cities Insurance Trust (LMCIT). The District pays an annual premium to LMCIT. The District is subject to supplemental assessments if deemed necessary by the LMCIT. The LMCIT reinsures through Workers Compensation Reinsurance Association (WCRA) as required by law. For workers compensation, the District is not subject to a deductible. The District workers compensation coverage is retrospectively rated. With this type of coverage, final premiums are determined after loss experience is known. The amount of premium adjustment, if any, is considered immaterial and not recorded until received or paid.

Other insurance coverage is provided through a pooled self-insurance program through the LMCIT. The District pays an annual premium to the LMCIT. The District is subject to supplemental assessments if deemed necessary by the LMCIT. The LMCIT reinsures through commercial companies for claims in excess various amounts. The District retains risk for the deductible portions of the insurance policies. The amount of these deductibles are considered immaterial to the financial statements.

There were no significant reductions in insurance or settlements in excess of insurance coverage for 2006.

B. LITIGATION

The District's attorney has indicated that there are no pending litigation's in which the District is involved that would have a material effect upon the District's financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

C. FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDS

The District receives financial assistance from federal and state governmental agencies in the form of grants. The disbursement of funds received under these programs generally requires compliance with the terms and conditions specified in the grant agreements and is subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims resulting from such audits could become a liability of the applicable fund. However, in the opinion of management, any such disallowed claims will not have a material effect on any of the financial statements of the individual fund types included herein or on the overall financial position of the District at December 31, 2006.

Note 7 DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLANS - STATEWIDE

A. PLAN DESCRIPTION

All full-time and certain part-time employees of the District are covered by defined benefit plans administered by the Public Employees Retirement Association of Minnesota (PERA). PERA administers the Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERF) and the Public Employees Police and Fire Fund (PEPFF) which are cost-sharing, multiple-employer retirement plans. These plans are established and administered in accordance with Minnesota Statute, Chapters 353 and 356.

PERF members belong to either the Coordinated Plan or the Basic Plan. Coordinated Plan members are covered by Social Security and Basic Plan members are not. All new members must participate in the Coordinated Plan.

PERA provides retirement benefits as well as disability benefits to members, and benefits to survivors upon death of eligible members. Benefits are established by State Statute, and vest after three years of credited service. The defined retirement benefits are based on a member's highest average salary for any five successive years of allowable service, age, and years of credit at termination of service.

The benefit provisions stated in the previous paragraphs of this section are current provisions and apply to active plan participants. Vested, terminated employees who are entitled to benefits but are not receiving them yet are bound by the provisions in effect at the time they last terminated their public service.

PERA issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for PERF and PEPFF. That report may be obtained on the internet at www.mnpera.org, by writing to PERA, 60 Empire Drive #200, St. Paul, Minnesota, 55103-2088 or by calling (651)296-7460 or 1-800-652-9026.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

B. FUNDING POLICY

Minnesota Statutes Chapter 353 sets the rates for employer and employee contributions. These statutes are established and amended by the state legislature. The District makes annual contributions to the pension plans equal to the amount required by state statutes. PERF Basic Plan members and Coordinated Plan members were required to contribute 9.10% and 5.50%, respectively, of their annual covered salary in 2006. Contribution rates in the Coordinated Plan will increase in 2007 to 5.75%. PEPFF members were required to contribute 7.0% of their annual covered salary in 2006. That rate will increase to 7.8% in 2007. The District is required to contribute the following percentages of annual covered payroll: 11.78% for Basic Plan PERF members and 6.0% for Coordinated Plan PERF members. Employer contribution rates for the Coordinated Plan will increase to 6.25%, effective January 1, 2007. The District's contribution to the Public Employees Retirement Fund for the years ending December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were \$4,481, \$3,768 and \$3,687, respectively. The District's contributions were equal to the contractually required contributions for the years as set by state statute.

Note 8 DESIGNATIONS OF FUND EQUITY

At December 31, 2006 and 2005 the District had the following fund equity reservations and designations:

	Decemb	December 31,			
	2006	2005			
General Fund: Designated for cash flow	\$282,847	\$235,994			
Special Revenue Fund: Designated for Watershed plan implemenation	6,413,343	4,931,412			
Debt Service Fund: Reserved for debt service	19,123	19,123			
Total	\$6,715,313	\$5,186,529			

Note 9 STORMWATER UTILITY FEES

In 2004 the District implemented a stormwater utility fee pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Chapter 444.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2006

Note 10 PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT

During 2005, corrections to the prior year financial statements were made for previous year expenses that should have been capitalized. A summary of the changes are as follows:

	Planning and Implementation
Fund balance/net assets - December 31, 2004, as previously reported	\$6,620,768
Prior period adjustment: Unrecorded compensated absences	(1,085)
Fund balance/net assets - January 1, 2005, as restated	\$6,619,683

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - GENERAL FUND

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

	Budgeted .	Amounts	2006 Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget - Positive (Negative)	2005 Actual Amounts
	Original	Final			
Revenues:					
General property taxes	\$180,429	\$180,429	\$178,150	(\$2,279)	\$156,597
Intergovernmental - MVHC	1,678	1,678	1,678	-	1,773
Investment income	-	-	15,126	15,126	6,720
Other	<u> </u>	-	373	373	
Total revenues	182,107	182,107	195,327	13,220	165,090
Expenditures:					
Current:					
Legal	21,600	21,600	7,486	14,114	7,256
Accounting and auditing	16,975	16,975	15,104	1,871	14,998
Salary and benefits	93,160	93,160	87,596	5,564	79,168
Secretarial services	8,750	8,750	2,138	6,612	2,150
Manager's per diem and expenses	15,400	15,400	17,138	(1,738)	13,954
Insurance	9,600	9,600	9,054	546	8,789
Dues	2,500	2,500	3,341	(841)	3,284
Rent	4,122	4,122	5,941	(1,819)	3,629
Equipment	5,000	5,000	-	5,000	565
Office supplies and other	5,000	5,000	676	4,324	2,773
Total expenditures	182,107	182,107	148,474	33,633	136,566
Revenues over expenditures	\$0	\$0	46,853	\$46,853	28,524
Fund balances - January 1			235,994		207,470
Fund balances - December 31			\$282,847		\$235,994

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE NOTE TO RSI December 31, 2006

Note A LEGAL COMPLIANCE – BUDGETS

The General Fund budget is legally adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The legal level of budgetary control is at the fund level for the General Fund.

INDIVIDUAL FUND STATEMENTS

GENERAL FUND

COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2006

With Comparative Amounts For December 31, 2005

Assets	2006	2005
Cash and investments	\$289,889	\$239,680
Taxes receivable: Delinquent	6,205	62,114
2 cquo.ii		<u> </u>
Total assets	\$296,094	\$301,794
Liabilities and Fund Balance		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$7,042	\$3,686
Deferred revenue	6,205	62,114
Total liabilities	13,247	65,800
Fund balance:		
Unreserved:		
Undesignated	282,847	235,994
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$296,094	\$301,794

GENERAL FUND

Statement 8

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For The Year Ended December 31, 2005

	2006	2005
Revenues:		
General property taxes	\$178,150	\$156,597
Intergovernmental - MVHC	1,678	\$1,773
Investment income	15,126	6,720
Other	373	=
Total revenues	195,327	165,090
Expenditures:		
Current:		
Professional services:		
Legal	7,486	7,256
Accounting and auditing	15,104	14,998
Salary and benefits	87,596	79,168
Secretarial services	2,138	2,150
Manager's per diem and expenses	17,138	13,954
Insurance	9,054	8,789
Dues	3,341	3,284
Rent	5,941	3,629
Equipment	-	565
Office supplies and other	676	2,773
Total expenditures	148,474	136,566
Revenues over expenditures	46,853	28,524
Fund balance - January 1	235,994	207,470
Fund balance - December 31	\$282,847	\$235,994

PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION

COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For December 31, 2005

	2006	2005
Assets		
Cash and investments	\$6,488,469	\$5,011,831
Accounts receivable	3,180	-
Taxes receivable:		
Delinquent	59,233	-
Due from county	45,033	52,114
Total assets	\$6,595,915	\$5,063,945
Liabilities and Fund Balance		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$123,339	\$132,533
Deferred revenue	59,233	=
Total liabilities	182,572	132,533
Fund balance:		
Unreserved:		
Designated	6,413,343	4,931,412
Total fund balance	6,413,343	4,931,412
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$6,595,915	\$5,063,945

PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For The Year Ended December 31, 2005

	2006	2005
Revenues:		
General property taxes	\$353,535	\$339,559
Stormwater utility fee	1,506,025	1,446,987
Intergovernmental:		
Market value homestead credit	22,665	27,405
Met council grant	15,750	-
Investment income	233,823	107,740
Other	7,440	8,749
Total revenues	2,139,238	1,930,440
Expenditures:		
Current:		
Professional services:	2.402	4.550
Legal	3,482	4,778
Engineering	332,308	182,166
Projects and studies	321,517	303,098
Capital outlay:		70.506
Infrastructure		78,506
Total expenditures	657,307	568,548
Revenues over expenditures	1,481,931	1,361,892
Fund balance - January 1	4,931,412	3,569,520
Fund balance - December 31	\$6,413,343	\$4,931,412

DEBT SERVICE

COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For December 31, 2005

	2006	2005
Assets	2000	2003
Cash and investments	\$19,123	\$19,123
Total assets	\$19,123	\$19,123
Liabilities and Fund Balance		
Liabilities	\$	<u> </u>
Fund balance: Reserved for debt service	19,123	19,123
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$19,123	\$19,123

DEBT SERVICE

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For The Year Ended December 31, 2005

	2006	2005
Revenues:		
Stormwater utility fee	\$547,193	\$547,763
Expenditures:		
Debt service:		
Principal	330,000	320,000
Interest	217,193	227,763
Total expenditures	547,193	547,763
Revenues over (under) expenditures	0	0
Fund balance - January 1	19,123	19,123
Fund balance - December 31	\$19,123	\$19,123

SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

COMBINED SCHEDULE OF INDEBTEDNESS December 31, 2006

			Final
	Interest		Maturity
	Rates	Date	Date
General Obligation Bonds:			
G.O. Bonds of 2002	4.75%	1/29/2002	3/1/2017

Prior	Years					Principal	Interest	Interest
Original		Payable	20	006	Payable	Due	Due	Payable
Issue	Payments	01/01/06	Issued	Payments	12/31/06	In 2007	In 2007	to Maturity
\$5,875,000	\$895,000	\$4,980,000	\$ -	\$330,000	\$4,650,000	\$340,000	\$204,953	\$1,299,669

		Tax Capacity	Tax Capacity
		Values	Values
		2005/2006	2004/2005
Taxable valuations:			
Real estate		\$87,954,151	\$76,866,300
Personal property		1,070,401	1,032,773
Total		89,024,552	77,899,073
Tax increment captured		(1,659,635)	(1,590,818)
Fiscal disparities:			
Distribution		7,895,333	7,452,941
Contribution		(5,299,767)	(4,721,321)
Totals		\$89,960,483	\$79,039,875
	2006/2007	2005/2006	2004/2005
	Certified	Certified	Certified
	Levy	Levy	Levy
Taxes levied:			
Administration levy	\$244,164	\$182,108	\$168,550
Management plan implementation levy	2,149,535	2,098,840	2,605,462
Total	\$2,393,699	\$2,280,948	\$2,774,012

SPECIAL REVENUE FUND - PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION FUND COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For December 31, 2005

Exhibit 3 Page 1 of 2

	200	201	203	205
A	Quality / Quantity Monitoring Program	Infiltration	Information and Education	SWWD Plan and Rules Update
Assets				
Cash and investments Accounts receivable Taxes receivable: Delinquent Due from county	\$130,181 - - 59,233	\$191,534 - - - -	\$151,198 - - - -	\$163,874 - - - -
Total assets	\$189,414	\$191,534	\$151,198	\$163,874
Liabilities and Fund Balance				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable Deferred revenue Total liabilities	\$47,202 59,233 106,435	\$7,382 - 7,382	\$5,534 - 5,534	\$35,642 - 35,642
Fund balance (deficit): Unreserved:				
Onreserved: Designated	82,979	184,152	145,664	128,232
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$189,414	\$191,534	\$151,198	\$163,874

SPECIAL REVENUE FUND - PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION FUND

COMBINING BALANCE SHEET

December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For December 31, 2005

	206	210	310	311
Access	Development Reviews Programs	Stormwater Utility Administration	Assessment Studies	Greenways
Assets				
Cash and investments	\$126,323	(\$73,359)	\$193,871	\$229,461
Accounts receivable	-	-	-	-
Taxes receivable:				
Delinquent	-	-	-	-
Due from county				
Total assets	\$126,323	(\$73,359)	\$193,871	\$229,461
Liabilities and Fund Balance				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$18,027	\$202	\$ -	\$ -
Deferred revenue				
Total liabilities	18,027	202	0	0
Fund balance (deficit):				
Unreserved:				
Designated	108,296	(73,561)	193,871	229,461
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$126,323	(\$73,359)	\$193,871	\$229,461

312	313	315	317	319	322		
Infiltration	Regional Facility	Groundwater Protection and Monitoring	Watershed Hydrologic/ Hydraulic Modeling	East Mississippi	Overflow	Tot. 2006	als 2005
\$235,169 -	\$1,705,515 3,180	\$184,676 -	\$106,653 -	\$41,458 -	\$3,101,915 -	\$6,488,469 3,180	\$5,011,831 -
- -	- 45,033	- -	- -	- 	- -	59,233 45,033	62,114 52,114
\$235,169	\$1,753,728	\$184,676	\$106,653	\$41,458	\$3,101,915	\$6,595,915	\$5,126,059
\$341 -	\$ - -	\$ -	\$4,025 -	\$4,984 -	\$ -	\$123,339 59,233	\$132,533 62,114
341	0	0	4,025	4,984	0	182,572	194,647
234,828	1,753,728	184,676	102,628	36,474	3,101,915	6,413,343	4,931,412
\$235,169	\$1,753,728	\$184,676	\$106,653	\$41,458	\$3,101,915	\$6,595,915	\$5,126,059

SPECIAL REVENUE FUND - PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION FUND COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

Exhibit 4 Page 1 of 2

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For The Year Ended December 31, 2005

	200	201	203	205	206
	Quality / Quantity Monitoring Program	Infiltration	Information and Education	SWWD Plan and Rules Update	Development Reviews Programs
Revenues:		_			
Property taxes	\$90,284	\$81,000	\$27,391	\$50,381	\$60,457
Stormwater utility fee	-	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental:					
Market value homestead credit	850	763	258	474	569
Land acquisition grant	-	15,750	-	-	-
Investment income	8,201	7,431	2,513	4,622	5,546
Miscellaneous	-	-	-	-	-
Total revenues	99,335	104,944	30,162	55,477	66,572
Expenditures:					
Current:					
Legal	-	-	-	77	-
Engineering	-	54,570	23,524	133,357	49,103
Projects and studies	129,996	- -	7,400	3,961	- -
Capital outlay:					
Infrastructure	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures	129,996	54,570	30,924	137,395	49,103
Revenues over (under) expenditures	(30,661)	50,374	(762)	(81,918)	17,469
Other financing sources (uses):					
Transfer in	_	_	_	_	_
Transfer out	_	-	-	-	-
Total other financing sources (uses)	0	0	0	0	0
Net change in fund balance	(30,661)	50,374	(762)	(81,918)	17,469
Fund balance - January 1	113,640	133,778	146,426	210,150	90,827
Fund balance - December 31	\$82,979	\$184,152	\$145,664	\$128,232	\$108,296

SPECIAL REVENUE FUND - PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION FUND

COMBINING SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND

CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For The Year Ended December 31, 2006

With Comparative Totals For The Year Ended December 31, 2005

	210	310	311	312	313
	Stormwater Utility Administration	Assessment Studies	Greenways	Infiltration	Regional Facility
Revenues:					
Property taxes	\$44,022	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Stormwater utility fee	-	15,652	53,061	57,522	290,005
Intergovernmental:					
Market value homestead credit	415	147	500	542	7,884
Land acquisition grant	-	-	-	-	-
Investment income	4,487	3,051	4,918	5,277	76,802
Miscellaneous		-			7,440
Total revenues	48,924	18,850	58,479	63,341	382,131
Expenditures: Current:					
Legal	_	-	-	-	2,931
Engineering	14,877	-	-	10,765	- -
Projects and studies	15,000	-	-	- -	1,598
Capital outlay:					
Infrastructure	-	-	-	-	-
Total expenditures	29,877	0	0	10,765	4,529
Revenues over (under) expenditures	19,047	18,850	58,479	52,576	377,602
Other financing sources (uses):					
Transfer in	_	_	_	_	_
Transfer out	_	_	_	_	_
Total other financing sources (uses)	0	0	0	0	0
Net change in fund balance	19,047	18,850	58,479	52,576	377,602
Fund balance - January 1	(92,608)	175,021	170,982	182,252	1,376,126
Fund balance - December 31	(\$73,561)	\$193,871	\$229,461	\$234,828	\$1,753,728

315	317	319	322			
Groundwater Protection and	Watershed Hydrologic/ Hydraulic	East		Intra Account	Tota	ls
Monitoring	Modeling	Mississippi	Overflow	Elimination	2006	2005
\$ - 73,370	\$ - 38,152	\$ - -	\$ - 978,263	\$ - -	\$353,535 1,506,025	\$339,559 1,446,987
691	359	-	9,213	-	22,665	27,405
-	-	-	-	-	15,750	-
6,731	2,423	-	101,821	-	233,823	107,740
-	- 10.001	-	-		7,440	8,749
80,792	40,934	0	1,089,297	0	2,139,238	1,930,440
- - -	17,076 159,152	- 29,036 -	474 - 4,410	- - -	3,482 332,308 321,517	4,778 182,166 303,098
					<u> </u>	78,506
0	176,228	29,036	4,884	0	657,307	568,548
80,792	(135,294)	(29,036)	1,084,413	0	1,481,931	1,361,892
- -	(275,000)	- -	275,000	-	(275,000) 275,000	- -
0	(275,000)	0	275,000	0	0	0
80,792	139,706	(29,036)	809,413	0	1,481,931	0
103,884	(37,078)	65,510	2,292,502	-	4,931,412	3,569,520
\$184,676	\$102,628	\$36,474	\$3,101,915	\$0	\$6,413,343	\$4,931,412

OTHER REPORTS



COMMUNICATION OF SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCIES THAT INDICATES NO MATERIAL WEAKNESSES IN INTERNAL CONTROL

To the Honorable Managers of the South Washington Watershed District Woodbury, Minnesota

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of South Washington Watershed District as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered South Washington Watershed District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of South Washington Watershed District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of South Washington Watershed District's internal control.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or a combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or a combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be significant deficiencies as described in the accompanying schedule as items 2006-1 through 2006-2.

Report on Internal Control South Washington Watershed District

Page 2

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management, members of the board, and others within the organization, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

HLB TAUTGES REDPATH, LTD.

NDB Tanges Redpeth, Itd.

White Bear Lake, Minnesota

May 1, 2007

Report on Internal Control Schedule of Findings South Washington Watershed District

Page 3

Finding: 2006-1 Oversight of Financial Statement Preparation

Criteria: Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls. These controls include the responsibility for preparation, or oversight of the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Condition: During this year of audit, Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 112 became effective. Basically, the main intent of SAS 112 is to have auditors report in writing more findings involving internal control. SAS 112 specifies that an organization may not rely exclusively on its auditors to perform the financial statement process. In our viewpoint, SAS 112 will result in many, if not most, small to mid-size organizations receiving a finding regarding financial statement preparation.

Like many similarly sized organizations, the District has requested assistance from us, the auditors, with drafting financial statements and related notes. This is a common practice and an allowable nonaudit service under the AICPA Ethics Interpretation 101-3. However, other than relying on the auditors, the District staff does not perform sufficient procedures to detect if there were misstatements or omission of disclosures to the financial statements.

Cause: The District has not established procedures to detect misstatements or omissions of disclosures in the draft financial statements prepared by the auditors.

Effect: By not having such controls, there is an increased risk that errors or omissions in draft financial statements prepared by the auditors would not be detected by District management.

Recommendation: We recommend the District review this matter and determine a course of action. Any change would need to be viewed from a cost/benefit perspective. Options for the District include:

- 1. Remain aware of the situation, however due to the increased cost, make the choice to make no changes to current procedures.
- 2. Implement internal procedures as determined practical. Such procedures could include:
 - a. Complete a disclosure checklist to ensure propriety and completeness of disclosures.
 - b. Trace various trial balance accounts to the draft financial statements to ensure all accounts are included in the financial statements.

Report on Internal Control Schedule of Findings South Washington Watershed District

Page 4

- c. Review GASB 34 conversion entries to ensure that the draft government-wide financial statements are free of misstatement.
- 3. Hiring additional internal or 3rd party resources to assist with the financial statement process.

Management Response: The District is aware of SAS 112, but a cost/benefit analysis of the issue does not support the allocation of additional employees or resources at this time. Certain other safeguards are successfully maintained (Board oversight and review of the draft financial statements) which provide satisfactory mitigation of the issue.

Report on Internal Control Schedule of Findings South Washington Watershed District

Page 5

Finding: 2006-2 Inadequate Documentation of the Components of Internal Control

Criteria: SAS 112 specified that inadequate documentation of the components of internal control is considered a significant deficiency in the design of internal controls.

Condition: The District has established policies regarding the design and operation of internal accounting controls, such as payroll, receipts and capital assets. However, these policies are not in writing and therefore, under the definition of SAS 112, a finding exists.

Cause: There may be an assumption that because the District's accounting system is not complex, there is no need for internal control/accounting manual.

Effect: An implied or verbal policy is subject to greater variation of its meaning and the likelihood of misinterpretation increases when a policy is not written.

Recommendation: We recommend the District establish a written internal control manual. Written procedures, instructions, and assignments of duties will prevent or reduce misunderstandings, errors, inefficient or wasted effort, duplicated or omitted procedures, and other situations that can result in inaccurate or untimely accounting records. A well-devised accounting manual can also help to ensure that all similar transactions are treated consistently, that accounting principles used are proper, and that records are produced in the form desired by management.

Management Response: The District will look into documenting internal controls during the current year.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE AUDIT GUIDE FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT

To the Honorable Managers of South Washington Watershed District Woodbury, Minnesota

We have audited the basic financial statements of the South Washington Watershed District, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 and have issued our report thereon dated May 1, 2007.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the provisions of the Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minnesota Statutes Section 6.65. Accordingly, the audit included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

The Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Local Government covers seven categories of compliance to be tested: contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions and tax increment financing. Our study included all of the above listed categories except for miscellaneous provisions and tax increment financing as they do not pertain to the District.

The results of our tests indicate that for the items tested, the South Washington Watershed District complied with the material terms and conditions of applicable legal provisions.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the South Washington Watershed District's Board of Managers and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

HLB TAUTGES REDPATH, LTD.

N&B Tantges Redpart, Ltd.

White Bear Lake, Minnesota

May 1, 2007



AUDIT MANAGEMENT LETTER

To the Board of Managers South Washington Watershed District Woodbury, Minnesota

REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS

We have audited the financial statements of the South Washington Watershed District for the year ended December 31, 2006, and have issued our report thereon dated May 1, 2007. Professional standards require that we provide you with the following information related to our audit.

Our Responsibility under U.S. Generally Accepted Auditing Standards

As stated in our engagement letter dated August 29, 2006 our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement and are fairly presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Because an audit is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute assurance and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material misstatements may exist and not be detected by us.

As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of the South Washington Watershed District. Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning internal control.

Significant Accounting Policies

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by the South Washington Watershed District are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during 2006. We noted no transactions entered into by the South Washington Watershed District during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

To the Honorable Managers South Washington Watershed District May 1, 2007 Page 2

REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS

Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management's knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. For the South Washington Watershed District, accounting estimates include depreciation on capital assets and fair value of investments.

Audit Adjustments

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define an audit adjustment as a proposed correction of the financial statements that, in our judgment, may not have been detected except through our auditing procedures. An audit adjustment may or may not indicate matters that could have a significant effect on the South Washington Watershed District's financial reporting process (that is, cause future financial statements to be materially misstated). In our judgment, none of the adjustments we proposed, whether recorded or unrecorded by the South Washington Watershed District, either individually or in the aggregate, indicate matters that could have a significant effect on the South Washington Watershed District's financial reporting process.

Disagreements with Management

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditor's report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

Consultations with Other Independent Accountants

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the government unit's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditor's opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

Issues Discussed Prior to Retention of Independent Auditors

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the South Washington Watershed District's auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

To the Honorable Managers South Washington Watershed District May 1, 2007 Page 3

REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing our audit.

Closing

This information is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Managers and management of the South Washington Watershed District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

HLB TAUTGES REDPATH, LTD.

HJB Tautges Rodputh, Itd.

White Bear Lake, Minnesota

May 1, 2007